## PISHILL WITH STONOR PARISH COUNCIL

## Minutes of Parish Council Meeting held at The Village Hall, Russells Water on Tuesday, 6<sup>th</sup> July 2010

Present

8.

Mr. T. DunnChairmanMr. P.G. GodfreyKairmanMr. R. HuntKairmanMrs. D. NewellKairmanMrs. P. PearceParish ClerkPCSO Janice SmithThames Valley Police

5 Parishioners: Mr. and Mrs. M. Messenger, Mrs. C.Downing, Mrs. Janet McCrae, Mr.J.Blumfield.

- 1. <u>Apologies and reason for absence</u> Mr. S. Stracey, Vice-Chairman, away.
- 2. <u>Declarations of interests (existence and nature) with regard to items on the Agenda</u> None.
- 3. <u>Public participation session with respect to items on the Agenda</u> The public wished to participate in Items 8 and 10.
- 4. <u>Minutes of Annual Parish Meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> May 2010</u> These were agreed as a true record and duly signed by the Chairman.
- 5. <u>Matters Arising from Annual Parish Meeting</u> None.
- 6. <u>Minutes of Annual Meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> May 2010</u> These were agreed as a true record and duly signed by the Chairman.

## 7. <u>Matters Arising from the Annual Meeting</u>

a) Drive Carefully signs, Stonor The Parish Council had been asked to arrange for the removal of Stonor village signs from Balham's Lane, which had been placed on privately owned land. This had been done.

<ul> <li>e) P10/E0447 Oak Farm, Mgve</li> <li>f) P10/E0511 April Cottage, RW</li> <li>g) P10/E0725 Wychens, M'gve</li> <li>h) P10/E0835/836lb Stonor Arms</li> <li>Some parishioners felt strongly that the dr proposals could have a detrimental effect homes . The Parish Council agreed that th consultation document would reflect its pr response, i.e. No Strong Views, but they v</li> </ul>	1 10011111	ing i ippliewrond		
<ul> <li>c) P10/E0417/418lb</li> <li>d) P10/E0432</li> <li>e) P10/E0447</li> <li>f) P10/E0511</li> <li>g) P10/E0725</li> <li>h) P10/E0835/836lb</li> <li>i) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) P10/E0237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) P10/E0237/10</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li></ul>	a)	P09/E1096	The Warren, Stonor Pk	. SODC decision awaited
<ul> <li>d) P10/E0432</li> <li>e) P10/E0447</li> <li>f) P10/E0511</li> <li>g) P10/E0725</li> <li>h) P10/E0835/836lb</li> <li>i) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) PE/00237/10</li></ul>	b)	P10/E0376/lb	Stonor Arms	SODC approval.
<ul> <li>e) P10/E0447 Oak Farm, Mgve SODC approval.</li> <li>f) P10/E0511 April Cottage, RW PC no strong views; SODC decision awai</li> <li>g) P10/E0725 Wychens, M'gve PC no strong views; SODC decision awai</li> <li>h) P10/E0835/836lb Stonor Arms Some parishioners felt strongly that the dr proposals could have a detrimental effect thomes . The Parish Council agreed that the consultation document would reflect its presponse, i.e. No Strong Views, but they v aware of the parishioners' concerns and w relying on SODC to ensure that there was</li> <li>i) PE/00237/10 Cookley Hill Farm,Mg. SODC investigations awaited.</li> <li>j) Land at North End SODC confirm that, under the terms of the enforcement, the occup until October 2010 to remove the buildings. If this is not complied prosecution will ensue.</li> </ul>	c)	P10/E0417/418lb	The Cottage, Stonor	SODC approval
<ul> <li>f) P10/E0511 g) P10/E0725 h) P10/E0835/836lb</li> <li>i) PE/00237/10 j) Land at North End</li> <li>April Cottage, RW Wychens, M'gve Stonor Arms</li> <li>April Cottage, RW PC no strong views; SODC decision awai PC no strong views; SODC decision awai Some parishioners felt strongly that the dr proposals could have a detrimental effect homes . The Parish Council agreed that th consultation document would reflect its pr response, i.e. No Strong Views, but they v aware of the parishioners' concerns and w relying on SODC to ensure that there was SODC confirm that, under the terms of the enforcement, the occup until October 2010 to remove the buildings. If this is not complied prosecution will ensue.</li> </ul>	d)	P10/E0432	The Cottage, RW	PC no strong views; SODC decision awaited
<ul> <li>g) P10/E0725</li> <li>h) P10/E0835/836lb</li> <li>Wychens, M'gve</li> <li>PC no strong views; SODC decision await</li> <li>Stonor Arms</li> <li>Some parishioners felt strongly that the dr</li> <li>proposals could have a detrimental effect to homes. The Parish Council agreed that the consultation document would reflect its pr</li> <li>response, i.e. No Strong Views, but they wavare of the parishioners' concerns and wrelying on SODC to ensure that there was</li> <li>i) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>Cookley Hill Farm,Mg. SODC investigations awaited.</li> <li>SODC confirm that, under the terms of the enforcement, the occup until October 2010 to remove the buildings. If this is not complied prosecution will ensue.</li> </ul>	e)	P10/E0447	Oak Farm, Mgve	SODC approval.
<ul> <li>h) P10/E0835/836lb</li> <li>h) P10/E0835/836lb</li> <li>h) Stonor Arms</li> <li>Some parishioners felt strongly that the dr proposals could have a detrimental effect homes. The Parish Council agreed that the consultation document would reflect its presponse, i.e. No Strong Views, but they v aware of the parishioners' concerns and w relying on SODC to ensure that there was</li> <li>i) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) Cookley Hill Farm,Mg. SODC investigations awaited.</li> <li>SODC confirm that, under the terms of the enforcement, the occup until October 2010 to remove the buildings. If this is not complied prosecution will ensue.</li> </ul>	f)	P10/E0511	April Cottage, RW	PC no strong views; SODC decision awaited
<ul> <li>h) P10/E0835/836lb</li> <li>h) P10/E0835/836lb</li> <li>h) Stonor Arms</li> <li>Some parishioners felt strongly that the dr proposals could have a detrimental effect homes. The Parish Council agreed that the consultation document would reflect its presponse, i.e. No Strong Views, but they v aware of the parishioners' concerns and w relying on SODC to ensure that there was</li> <li>i) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>j) Cookley Hill Farm,Mg. SODC investigations awaited.</li> <li>SODC confirm that, under the terms of the enforcement, the occup until October 2010 to remove the buildings. If this is not complied prosecution will ensue.</li> </ul>	g)	P10/E0725	Wychens, M'gve	PC no strong views; SODC decision awaited.
<ul> <li>i) PE/00237/10</li> <li>j) Land at North End</li> <li>Cookley Hill Farm,Mg. SODC investigations awaited.</li> <li>SODC confirm that, under the terms of the enforcement, the occup until October 2010 to remove the buildings. If this is not complied prosecution will ensue.</li> </ul>		P10/E0835/836lb	Stonor Arms	Some parishioners felt strongly that the drainage proposals could have a detrimental effect on their homes . The Parish Council agreed that the consultation document would reflect its previous response, i.e. No Strong Views, but they were aware of the parishioners' concerns and would be relying on SODC to ensure that there was no impact
j) Land at North End SODC confirm that, under the terms of the enforcement, the occup until October 2010 to remove the buildings. If this is not complied prosecution will ensue.	i)	PE/00237/10	Cookley Hill Farm, Mg.	
1 A		Land at North End	SODC confirm that, un	der the terms of the enforcement, the occupier has
k) South Oxfordshire's Proposed Submission Core Strategy			prosecution will ensue.	b/f
	k)	South Oxfordshire's P	roposed Submission Core	Strategy

Mr.Dunn reported that the Core Strategy has been abandoned, following the changes in central government after the General Election, which took place in May 2010. The Strategy is now tabled by Eric Pickles MP, for further debate.

9.	-	nce at Barclays Bank stands at £1,191.02.					
	(Ch.no: 100340 Cancelled) Cheques drawn at the meeting:						
	100341 Chiltern Society (ann.su	1b) £ 25.00					
		$\frac{1}{1000} = 10000000000000000000000000000000$					
		rculated to Parish Councillors prior to the next meeting, for discussion.	PP				
10							
10.	<u>Roads</u> a) Bollards at Russells Water	The Clerk read the reply from OCC Highways; they will retain the existing bollards and will replace any existing signs which are currently in a worn out condition. The road markings will be refreshed.					
	b) Potholes at Maidensgrove	These are now in a better condition.					
	c) Potholes at Pishill	These have been dealt with.	DD				
	d) Ditches near Stonor	The Clerk was instructed to write to OCC Highways again as these	РР				
	e) Potholes at Hollandridge	are still blocked. The Clerk was asked to enquire whether OCC Highways would be					
	c) i otnoies at monandridge	prepared to contribute to the repairs, since they had agreed to undertake					
		repairs prior to the Lane reclassification,.	PP				
	f) Balham's Lane	The work outside the Dairy at White Pond Farm has been completed.					
	g) County Sign, Balham's Lane		PP				
	h) Overgrown hedges, Pishill	These have been cut back.	1- /£				
	<ul> <li>i) Proposed provision of salt bin at Maidensgrove</li> </ul>	It is anticipated that this will be installed after September, but details are to be finalised and contact made with the Evelyn Trust for the	b/f				
	om at Maldensgrove		S/RH				
	j) Snow clearance	Residents of Russells Water and Maidensgrove had contacted SODC and OCC proposing that Mr. T. White be reimbursed in future for clearing snow from roads in Russells Water and Maidensgrove. This had been agreed by OCC Highways Department.	£				
	h) Speeding through Stonor	The Chairman invited comments from parishioners on the speed of traffic through Stonor. Thames Valley PCSO Janice Smith had carried of a speed survey on 26 <sup>th</sup> June 2010 opposite Stonor Park, where 90% of traffic was recorded at over 30 mph, a high percentage of which were driving at 40 mph. After discussion the Clerk was instructed by the Parish Council to contact OCC Highways to request that consideration be given to the removal of the central white line through the village, with the possible addition of white lines to the edge of the carriageway. Discussion on the situation at Pishill resulted in the decision that no further speed signs were required.	PP				
11.	Commons Report						
11.	a) Driving on Commons	None reported recently - to be monitored.	DN				
12.	<u>Footpath Report</u> a) Chilterns Walk	Mr.Godfrey had spent a considerable time in the organisation of					
	b) Footpath 20, Maidensgrove- Pishill Bank	this walk to celebrate the 10 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary of he Chiltern Society and de had been published in the Chilterns Society newsletter. Unfortunately no one arrived to take part in the walk, which was scheduled for 11 <sup>th</sup> June 2 Mr.Dunn and the Parish Councillors expressed their gratitude to Mr. Goo for his commitment in arranging the details. It has been established that nothing can be done to deter horse-riding along this footpath.	o 010.				
13.	Refuse & Waste Management						
19.		Mrs.Newell reported that there was evidence of fly-tipping at Maidensgr this is to be monitored.	ove; DN				

13.	Refuse & Waste Management (cont)					
	b) Fly-tipping nr. Hollandridge	This remains a problem and is being regarded by the Parish Council as				
		a potential health hazard. The Clerk was instructed to contact District	4			
		Cllr. Mr. Rodney Mann who had offered at the last meeting to investigat	te PP			
		a possible solution.	PP			
14.	Townlands Hospital Update	Mrs. Newell reported that the tenders had been incorrectly organised and	d			
	<u>+</u>	were now in the process of being re-submitted.	b/f			
15.	Information Pack	Noted.				
16.	Items for Parish Magazines	General report of meeting	рр			
10.	<u>items for Farish Wagazines</u>	General report of meeting	ГГ			
17.	Date and Place of next Meeting	To be confirmed: Tuesday, 21 <sup>st</sup> September, 2010, 7.00 p.m., at	PP			
	The Village Hall, Russells Water.					

There being no further business to discuss, the Chairman declared the meeting closed.

Chairman